

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

MEREDITH MCGLOWN,

CASE NO. C19-1658RSM

Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

V.

STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,

Defendants.

This matter is before the Court *sua sponte* following the Court's prior Order to Show Cause. The Court previously granted Plaintiff leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Dkt. #3. Subsequently, the Court noted its difficulty in understanding Plaintiff's claims and its inability to identify a jurisdictional basis for filing the action before this Court. Dkt. #6. On October 24, the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause why her action should not be dismissed and to state: "(1) the law or laws upon which her claims are based, (2) how the disputes fall within the scope of those laws, (3) the specific relief she seeks, and (4) how her Complaint otherwise falls within the Court's limited jurisdiction." *Id.* at 3. Plaintiff was given 21 days to respond and it was ordered that her action would be dismissed if she did not respond. *Id.*

1 Plaintiff failed to respond to the Court's Order to Show Cause. Accordingly, for that
2 reason and the reasons identified in the Court's Order to Show Cause and having reviewed the
3 remainder of the record in this action, the Court finds and ORDERS:

- 4 1. All claims asserted in Plaintiff's Complaint (Dkt. #4) are DISMISSED without prejudice.
- 5 2. Plaintiff's pending Application for Court-Appointed Counsel (Dkt. #5) is DENIED as
6 moot.
- 7 3. This action is now CLOSED.
- 8 4. The Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to Plaintiff at her last known address.

9 DATED this 3 day of December, 2019.

10 
11 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
12 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24